

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/625,967  | 07/24/2003     | James E. Issler      | 03820-P0094A            | 1638             |
| 24126 7   | 590 12/10/2004 |                      | EXAM                    | INER             |
| ST. ONGE STEWARD JOHNSTON & REENS, LLC<br>986 BEDFORD STREET<br>STAMFORD, CT 06905-5619 |                |                      | BRITTAIN, JAMES R       |                  |
|   |                |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ,   | ,              |                      | 3677                    |                  |
|   |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 12/10/2004 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 10/625,967  Examiner  James R. Brittain  Dears on the cover sheet with the of the cover avoid abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment white leal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed.  | NDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in   |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| James R. Brittain  pears on the cover sheet with the of the cover sheet with the of this application at immely filed amendment white all (with appeal fee); or (3) a time  | 3677  Correspondence address (   |  |  |  |  |
| Dears on the cover sheet with the of ACE THIS APPLICATION IN CO avoid abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whiseal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timestally appeal fee); or (3) a timestally appeal fee).  | NDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in   |  |  |  |  |
| ACE THIS APPLICATION IN CO<br>avoid abandonment of this appli<br>(1) a timely filed amendment whi<br>eal (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim   | NDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in   |  |  |  |  |
| avoid abandonment of this appli<br>(1) a timely filed amendment whi<br>eal (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim   | cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]   |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) \( \sum \) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ection(s):   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>20</u> would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| rs;  | •  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| •  | •  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | •  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None.</u> B. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | James R. Brittain<br>Primary Examiner<br>Art Unit: 3677  |  |  |  |  |
|  | REPLY [check either a) or b)]  and date of the final rejection.  Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the rethan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Itension and the corresponding amount of the fined statutory period for reply originally set in months after the mailing date of the final rejection.  The statutory period for reply originally set in months after the mailing date of the final rejection. The final rejection of the final reject |  |  |  |  |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

ntinuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment to claim 1 indicating the anchoring end and lace end "being provided by a single continuous in ngth of material" (lines 3-4) and the amendment to claim 11 indicating the anchoring and lace ends are "from a single continuous length of material" (lines 3-4) are new issues requiring further search and consideration as they are narrower than a "single unit of material".